

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Jorg Peter Schur 07/18/2002 von Kreisler.022 9726 10/070,042 EXAMINER 10/15/2004 7590 110 LEVY, NEIL S DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN 1601 MARKET STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 2400** PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307 1616

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/070,042	SCHUR, JORG PETER
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Neil Levy	1616
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address
Period for	• •	_	
THE N - Extension - If the second of the sec	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the set of th	N. t.1.136(a). In no event, however, π reply within the statutory minimum ind will apply and will expire SIX (6 tute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	9 July 2004.	
,	•	his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposiție	on of Claims		
·	Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,13,19-21,24,30,36,43 and</u>	46-48 is/are nending in	the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
	Claim(s) <u>3,4,7,24 and 36</u> is/are allowed.		
•	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,8-10,12,13,19-21,30,43, &amp;</u>	46-48 is/are rejected.	
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	d/or election requiremen	t.
Applicati	on Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ed to by the Examiner.
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col		
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority ::	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ight phoney under our circ	3 (2) (3) 5. (1)
G)t	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received	l.
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		
	application from the International Bu		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies	s not received.
A44. 5:	A4-)		
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Inter	view Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	) Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date
3) Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE		ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

=

Application/Control Number: 10/070,042

Art Unit: 1616

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejections under 35 USC 112 are withdrawn, in reconsideration of applicant's methods as impregnation and treatment of wood. The concern with efficacy remains, for reasons of record, but <u>claims</u> are not drawn to efficacious mold prevention or irradiation, so we accede to request for withdrawl. The issue of is tannin a tannic acid remains. Applicant's support in Merck indicates they are one and the same, as does the CAS database. The reference, Rodriquez discloses sources, vegetable tannins and tannic acid. Applicant's pages 5, 6, 7 say nothing about tannins or tannic acid. Applicant provides no distinction. No structures are submitted. The 2 terms seen to be general and interchangeable. However, they are not GRAS.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-10, 12, 13, 14-21, 30, 43, 46, 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Blum et al 6207290.

The rejection of record is maintained. The particular I progenitor, inclusive of alcohol or propylene glycol, with any particular antioxidant inclusive of tannic acid, and with the conventional additives recited by Blum does not adversely effect the nature of the antifouling wood treatment composition, or method of treatment impregnation (col. 3, bottom) or spraying, (col. 5, line 25). Tannin is present, as the earlier of tannic acid (col. 4, line 61-63) and combinations are disclosed. Tannin, esters of tannic acid, and/or tannic acid are at least 0.01%, and up to 10%, while solvents (examples) are at

Application/Control Number: 10/070,042

Art Unit: 1616

least 30%, thus obvious for one in the art to achieve the instant claim 8% or that of claim 19, 30, 43.

Claims 3, 4, 7, 24, 36 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed on 7/29/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' arguments not persuasive are that consisting essentially excludes Blum, with other components. Examiner finds Blum and the instant methods are to the same problems and provide the same composting those for treating wood. Withdrawn rejection is in reconsideration of applicant's amendments and arguments.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is (571) 272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday 7 AM to 5:30 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gray Kunz can be reached on (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Levy/LR October 12, 2004

> NEIL S. LEVY PRIMARY EXAMINER